		RUTGERS UNIVERSITY	WORKSHEET: Children			
		Office for Research	NUMBER	DATE	PAGE	
			7.205 (HRP-416)	5/1/2024	1 of 5	
 The purpose of this worksheet is to provide support for IRB members or the <u>Designated Reviewer</u> following the 4.202 (HRP-314) - WORKSHEET: Criteria for Approval when research involves children as subjects. This worksheet may be used for all reviews (initial, continuing, modification, review by the convened IRB, and review using the expedited procedure.) For initial review using the expedited procedure and modifications and continuing reviews where the determinations relevant to this worksheet made on the previous review have changed, the <u>Designated Reviewer</u> may utilize this worksheet to make determinations required by the regulations along with protocol-specific findings justifying those determinations. The <u>Designated Reviewer</u> documents their review in the electronic "Submit Designated Review" activity in eIRB+. For initial review using the convened IRB and for modifications and continuing reviews where the determinations relevant to this worksheet made on the previous review have changed, the convened IRB completes the corresponding section of the meeting minutes to document determinations required by the regulations along with protocol-specific findings justifying those determinations, in which case this worksheet made on the previous review have changed, the convened IRB completes the corresponding section of the meeting minutes to document determinations required by the regulations along with protocol-specific findings justifying those determinations, in which case this worksheet does not need to be completed or retained. 						
•	NOTE: L	lse a separate worksheet for each (child determination for a study.			
1		earch meets all of the following: (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(ed)		
		earch falls into one of the following				
		tion 2 Criteria	ection 3 Criteria	Section 4 Criteria	Section 5 Criteria	
		te provisions are made for soliciting				
		te provisions are made for soliciting		,		
	 One of the following is true related to applicability of research involving wards: (Check the one that is true) The research falls into Section 2 or 3 OR does NOT involve wards of the state or any other agency, institution, or entity The research falls into Section 4 or 5 AND involves wards of the state or any other agency, institution, or entity (Complete Section 6) 					
	2 Research involving children under 21 CFR §50.51/45 CFR §46.404 (Check if "Yes". All must be checked)					
	No greater than <u>Minimal Risk</u> to children is presented. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:					
Retu	irn to Sec	tion 1.				
3		h involving children under 21 CF		k if "Yes". All must be checked)		
	 The research involves greater than <u>Minimal Risk</u> to subjects. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination: 					
		earch presents the prospect of dire protocol-specific findings justifying		S.		
	□ Th □ Th	the following is true. (Check box the e risk to children is presented by an e risk to children is presented by a protocol-specific findings justifying	n intervention or procedure that he monitoring procedure that is likely		-	
		is justified by the anticipated bene protocol-specific findings justifying				
	approa	ation of the anticipated benefit to the ches. protocol-specific findings justifying		e subjects as that presented by	available alternative	
Retu	Return to Section 1.					
	of direc	earch involves greater than <u>Minima</u> t benefit for the individual subject, c protocol-specific findings justifying	or by a monitoring procedure which			
	The risk minima	c represents a minor increase over they do not exceed the socially ac	<u>Minimal Risk</u> . ("Minor increase over cceptable risks for children with the			

WORKSHEET: Children						
		Office for Research	NUMBER	DATE	PAGE	
			7.205 (HRP-416)	5/1/2024	2 of 5	
	The intervention or procedure presents experiences to subjects that are reasonably commensurate with those inherent in their actual or expected medical, dental, psychological, social, or educational situations. <i>Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:</i> The intervention or procedure is likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the subjects' disorder or condition which is of vital importance					
	for the u <i>Provide</i>	inderstanding or amelioration of the protocol-specific findings justifying	e subjects' disorder or condition.			
Retu	<u>irn to Sec</u>					
5 □	The research does not meet the requirements of Sections 2, 3, or 4 Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:					
		r welfare of children. protocol-specific findings justifying	this dotormination:			
Retu	Irn to Sec					
6	Researc	h involving wards of the state or Il must be checked)	any other agency, institution, o	r entity under 21 CFR §50.56/	45 CFR §46.409 (Check if	
		he following is true: (Check box the	,			
		he research is related to their state				
		he research is conducted in schoo	ols, camps, hospitals, institutions, c	or similar settings in which the m	hajority of children involved as	
		ects are not wards. protocol-specific findings justifying	this determination:			
		cate will be appointed for each chi		other individual acting on beha	alf of the child as guardian or in	
	loco parentis for research approved under §46.406 or §46.407. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:					
		ocate will have the background an	d experience to act in, and will age	ee to act in, the best interests o	of the child for the duration of	
		I's participation in the research. protocol-specific findings justifying	this determination.			
		ocate is not associated in any way		member of the IRB) with the re	esearch, the investigator(s), or	
	the guar	rdian organization.		,	, 6 (,,	
		protocol-specific findings justifying	this determination:			
Retu	irn to Sec	<u>tion 1.</u>				
7		e provisions for soliciting the pe		ns (Check if "Yes".)		
		he following is true: (Check box tl				
		rmission is to be obtained from bot en only one parent has legal respo			or not reasonably available, or	
					vailable, and shares legal	
	Permission of one parent is sufficient even if the other parent is alive, known, competent, reasonably available, and shares legal responsibility for the care and custody of the child. (Cannot be selected for Section 4 or 5 criteria)					
	□ Parental permission is waived under criteria in <u>Section 8</u>					
	🗆 Pa	rental permission is waived under	criteria in <u>Section 9</u>			
		rental permission is waived under				
		rental permission is waived under	criteria in Section 11			
<u>Retu</u>	Return to Section 1.					
8	3 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
		earch is not FDA-regulated.				
		earch does not involve non-viable				
		earch protocol is designed for conc nent to protect the subjects.	autors or for a subject population f	or which parental or guardian p	ermission is not a reasonable	
		protocol-specific findings justifying	this determination:			
	An appropriate mechanism for protecting the children who will participate as subjects in the research is substituted. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:					

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY		RUTGERS UNIVERSITY	WORKSHEET: Children				
		Office for Research	NUMBER	DATE	PAGE		
			7.205 (HRP-416)	5/1/2024	3 of 5		
	The waiver is not inconsistent with Federal, State, or local law.						
Potu	Provide rn to Sec	protocol-specific findings justifying	this determination:				
_							
9		If Parental Permission under 45 earch is not FDA-regulated.	CFR §46.408(c)/45 CFR §46.116(d)/45 CFR §46.116(f) (Check in	"Yes". All must be checked)		
		earch does not involve non-viable	neonates.				
		earch involves no more than Minim					
		protocol-specific findings justifying					
		ver or alteration will not adversely a protocol-specific findings justifying		subjects.			
		earch could not practicably be carr protocol-specific findings justifying		ition			
	Whenev	er appropriate, the subjects will be protocol-specific findings justifying	provided with additional pertinent	information after participation.			
	Alteratio	n of the consent process can only nents described in 45 CFR 46.116	omit or alter the basic and/or addi				
	The wai	ver is not inconsistent with Federa	l, State or local law. ^v				
Retu	rn to Sec	tion 1.					
10	Waiver o	f Parental Permission under FD	A Guidance "IRB Waiver or Alte	ration of Informed Consent fo	or Clinical Investigations		
		No More Than Minimal Risk to	Human Subjects"iv (Check if "Ye	s." All must be checked.)			
		earch IS FDA-regulated. ical investigation involves no more	than minimal risk (as defined in 2)	1 CEP 50 3(k) or 56 102(i)) to t	he subjects		
		protocol-specific findings justifying					
	The wai	ver or alteration will not adversely	affect the rights and welfare of the	subjects.			
		protocol-specific findings justifying		(or or altoration			
	The clinical investigation could not practicably be carried out without the waiver or alteration. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:						
		er appropriate, the subjects will be protocol-specific findings justifying		information after participation.			
Retu	rn to Sec						
	11 Waiver of Parental Permission under 45 CFR §46.408(c)/45 CFR §46.116(c) (Check if "Yes". All must be checked)						
		earch is not FDA-regulated.					
		earch does not involve non-viable					
	The research or demonstration project is to be conducted by or subject to the approval of state or local government officials. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:						
	The research or demonstration project is designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine one or more of the following: (Check boxes that are true)						
	Public benefit or service programs.						
	 Procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs. Describe sharpes in an elternative to those programs. 						
	 Possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures. Describe changes in methods as levels of neumant for honefits or services under these programs. 						
	 Possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs. Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination: 						
		earch could not practicably be carr protocol-specific findings justifying		ition.			
Retu	rn to Sec	tion 1.					

		RUTGERS UNIVERSITY Office for Research	WORKSHEET: Children				
			NUMBER	DATE	PAGE		
_			7.205 (HRP-416)	5/1/2024	4 of 5		
12	Adequate	e provisions to solicit the assen	t of children (Check if "Yes". A	I must be checked)			
		vill be obtained from: (Check box	that is true)				
		children. (Complete Section 14)	(ing 40)				
		ne of the children. (<u>Complete Sec</u> ne children. (Complete <u>Section 1</u>	,	needs to describe which child	Iren will not be asked for		
		sent)	<u>o and <u>occion 14</u>. The protoco</u>		iren win not be asked for		
Retu	rn to Sect	1					
13	Reason v	why assent is not necessary 45 (CFR §46.408(a)/21 CFR §50.55	c) (Check if "Yes". All must be c	hecked)		
	One or r	nore of the following are true. (Che	eck all boxes that are true.)				
	The capability of these children (taking into account the ages, maturity, and psychological state of the children involved) is so limited						
		t they cannot reasonably be consu e intervention or procedure involve		spect of direct benefit that is imp	ortant to the health or well-being		
		he children and is available only in			Share to the health of weil-being		
		sent is waived under <u>Section 15</u> cri					
		ent is waived under <u>Section 16</u> cri	iteria				
Retu	rn to Sect	<u>ion 1.</u>					
14	14 Documentation of assent (Check if "Yes". All must be checked)						
		, specify the process for document					
	 Investigator will document assent in the consent signature block. Other (NOTE: The protocol needs to describe the process of assent documentation) 						
Retu	rn to Sect	· ·	o describe the process of dase				
15	Waiver o	f child assent under 45 CFR §46	408(a)/45 CFR 846 116(c)/21 C	FR §50 55(d) (Check if "Yes" A	Il must be checked)		
		earch involves no more than Minim					
	The waiver or alteration will not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the subjects.						
	The research could not practicably be carried out without the waiver or alteration						
	Whenever appropriate, the subjects will be provided with additional pertinent information after participation.						
	If the research involves using identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens, the research could NOT practicably be carried out						
	without using such information or biospecimens in an identifiable format. (N/A if research is FDA regulated, is subject to Pre-2018 Requirements OR if does not use identifiable private information or biospecimens) \Box N/A						
Retu	rn to Sect						
16	16 Waiver of Child Assent under 45 CFR §46.408(a)/45 CFR §46.116(d) (Check if "Yes". All must be checked)						
		earch is not FDA-regulated.	J				
	The rese	earch or demonstration project is to	b be conducted by or subject to t	he approval of state or local gove	ernment officials		
	The research or demonstration project is designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine one or more of the following: (Check all boxes						
	that are true. At least one must be checked.)						
	 Public benefit or service programs. Procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs. 						
		ssible changes in or alternatives to					
		ssible changes in methods or level		ces under those programs.			
		earch could not practicably be carri					
Retu	Return to Section 1.						

ⁱ "Children" are persons who have not attained the legal age for consent to treatments or procedures involved in the research, under the applicable law of the jurisdiction in which the research will be conducted.

ii "Guardian" means an individual who is authorized under applicable State or local law to consent on behalf of a child to general medical care.

ⁱⁱⁱ Wendler D. "What is a "minor" increase over minimal risk?" J Pediatr; 01-Nov-2005; 147(5): 575-8.

^{iv} Institutional Review Board Waiver or Alteration of Informed Consent for Minimal Risk Clinical Investigations | FDA.

v NJ State Statute Chapter 36 of Title 18A School Surveys: Parental or legal guardian permission may not be waived if the research proposes school research that includes any academic or nonacademic survey, assessment, analysis or evaluation which reveals information concerning: political affiliations; mental and psychological problems potentially embarrassing to the student or the student's family; sexual behavior and attitudes;

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY	WORKSHEET: Children		
Office for Research	NUMBER	DATE	PAGE
	7.205 (HRP-416)	5/1/2024	5 of 5

illegal, anti-social, self-incriminating and demeaning behavior; critical appraisals of other individuals with whom a respondent has a close family relationship; legally recognized privileged or analogous relationships, such as those of lawyers, physicians, and ministers; income, other than that required by law to determine eligibility for participation in a program or for receiving financial assistance under a program; or social security number.