

CHECKLIST: Adults with Impaired Decision-Making Capacity

NUMBER	DATE	PAGE
7.101 (HRP-417)	5/1/2024	1 of 2

The purpose of this checklist is to provide support for IRB members or the <u>Designated Reviewer</u> following 4.202 (HRP-314) - WORKSHEET - Criteria for Approval when research involves adults with impaired decision-making capacity as subjects. This checklist must be used for all reviews (initial, continuing, modification, review by the convened IRB, and review using the expedited procedure.)

- For initial review using the expedited procedure and modifications and continuing reviews where the determinations relevant to this checklist made on the previous review have changed, the <u>Designated Reviewer</u> completes this checklist to document determinations required by the regulations along with protocol-specific findings justifying those determinations. The <u>Designated Reviewer</u> attaches this checklist to "Submit Designated Review" activity. The IRB Office retains this checklist in the protocol file.
- For initial review using the convened IRB and for modifications and continuing reviews where the determinations relevant to this checklist made on the previous review have changed, one of the following two options may be used:

	along with retained. 2. The conve justifying t	ened IRB completes the corresponding section of the meeting minutes to document determinations required by the regulations a protocol-specific findings justifying those determinations, in which case this checklist does not need to be completed or ened IRB completes this checklist to document determinations required by the regulations along with protocol-specific findings chose determinations, and the IRB Office uploads this checklist in the "Submit Committee Review" activity and retains this
IC	CNECKIIST I	n the protocol file.
Study Title:		
Short Title:		
Investigator:		
Allı	research mu	st meet the criteria in Sections 1 or 2.
	Research Invo	olving adults with impaired decision-making with anticipated direct benefit to the subject (Check if "Yes." All must be
	☐ Subjects individua ☐ The objects	llowing is true: (Check box that is true) that have a disease or condition for which the procedures involved in the research hold out a prospect of direct benefit to the all subject that is unavailable outside the research context. Sectives of the trial cannot be met by means of study of subjects who can give consent personally. Secol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	Risks to subje	ects are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits to subjects. col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The relation of approaches.	of the anticipated benefit to the risk is at least as favorable to the subjects as that presented by available alternative col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The trial is no	t prohibited by law. col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	Subjects will	be particularly closely monitored. col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	Subjects will	be withdrawn if they appear to be unduly distressed. col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The proposed	d plan for the assessment of the capacity to consent is adequate. col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The subject v	vill be informed about the research to the extent compatible with the subject's understanding. col-specific findings justifying this determination:
	Assent will be ☐ All subje ☐ Some su	obtained from: (One of the following must be checked)
		document includes a signature line for a Legally Authorized Representative (LAR).
	If capable, the	e subject will sign and personally date the written informed consent.



CHECKLIST: Adults with Impaired Decision-Making Capacity				
NUMBER	DATE	PAGE		
7.101 (HRP-417)	5/1/2024	2 of 2		

2	Research involving adults with impaired decision-making capacity with NO anticipated direct benefit to the subject (Check if "Yes."
	All must be checked)
	Subjects have a disease or condition for which the procedures involved in the research are intended.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The objectives of the trial cannot be met by means of study of subjects who can give consent personally.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The foreseeable risks to the subjects are low.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The negative impact on the subject's well-being is minimized and low.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The trial is not prohibited by law.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	Subjects will be particularly closely monitored.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	Subjects will be withdrawn if they appear to be unduly distressed.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The proposed plan for the assessment of the capacity to consent is adequate.
	Provide protocol-specific findings justifying this determination:
	The subject will be informed about the research to the extent compatible with the subject's understanding.
	Assent will be obtained from: (One of the following must be checked)
	□ All subjects.
	□ Some subjects, specify:
	□ None of the subjects.
	The consent document includes a signature line for a <u>LAR</u> .
	If capable, the subject will sign and personally date the written informed consent.